

Editorial and Publication Policies

MIC - Vis, 2026 Conference Proceedings

The Editorial Board of MIC - Vis, 2026 is committed to maintaining high standards of scholarly quality, research integrity and editorial transparency. This document supplements the MIC - Vis, 2026 Full Paper Submission Guidelines. The Guidelines set out practical preparation and submission requirements, while this document defines the review, research-integrity and publication policies that apply to all submitted manuscripts.

Following publication, the proceedings publisher intends to submit the volume for independent evaluation for possible coverage respectable citation databases. Submission for evaluation does not guarantee indexation.

By submitting a manuscript, the corresponding author confirms that all authors have read and accepted the following editorial and publication policies.

1. Double Blind Peer Review Process

Every submitted manuscript will first undergo technical and editorial screening to determine whether it:

- falls within the scope of MIC - Vis, 2026
- complies with the Full Paper Submission Guidelines
- has been adequately anonymised
- meets basic standards of academic quality, language and research integrity
- includes all required declarations

Manuscripts that pass the initial screening will normally be evaluated by two independent reviewers. The identities of the authors will not be disclosed to the reviewers, and the identities of the reviewers will not be disclosed to the authors.

Reviewers will assess the originality and relevance of the contribution, methodological soundness, quality of analysis, engagement with relevant literature, clarity of presentation, correspondence between evidence and conclusions, and compliance with research and publication ethics.

Possible editorial decisions are:

- acceptance
- acceptance subject to minor revisions
- major revisions and resubmission
- rejection

Authors may be required to submit a revised manuscript together with a point-by-point response to the reviewers. The final publication decision is made by the Editorial Board and is conditional upon the satisfactory completion of all requested revisions and editorial requirements.

Reviewers and editors must treat submitted manuscripts as confidential documents. They must not use unpublished information for personal advantage or disclose manuscript content to third parties.

2. Publication Ethics and Research Integrity

Submitted manuscripts must report original and reliable scholarly work. Plagiarism, duplicate or redundant publication, fabrication or falsification of data, inappropriate manipulation of images, misrepresentation of authorship, citation manipulation and other forms of research or publication misconduct are not permitted.

Research involving human participants, personal data, animals or sensitive materials must comply with all applicable ethical, professional and legal requirements. Where relevant, authors must provide information about the approving ethics body, the approval reference number and the procedure used to obtain informed consent.

All listed authors must have made a substantive contribution to the work, approved the submitted version and accepted responsibility for its content. Individuals who have not made a substantive contribution must not be listed as authors.

The Editorial Board may request supporting documentation or clarification concerning ethical approval, consent, data collection, authorship or research integrity. Suspected misconduct will be examined fairly and confidentially. Authors will normally be given an opportunity to respond before a final decision is made. Depending on the seriousness of the matter, the manuscript may be rejected, withdrawn or retracted, and the relevant institution or funding organisation may be notified where appropriate.

3. Conflicts of Interest and Funding

Authors must disclose all financial, professional, institutional or personal relationships that could reasonably be perceived as influencing the research, interpretation of findings or preparation of the manuscript.

The existence of a potential conflict of interest does not automatically prevent publication, but it must be declared transparently. If no conflict exists, the authors must provide a statement confirming that they have no conflicts of interest to declare.

All sources of financial and institutional support must be disclosed, including the name of the funding organisation and the project or grant number, where applicable. If the research received no external funding, this must also be stated.

Editors and reviewers must disclose any relationship or interest that could affect their impartiality and must withdraw from the review or decision-making process when an actual or potential conflict exists.

4. Plagiarism and Duplicate Submission

Manuscripts must be original, previously unpublished and not under consideration by another journal, book or conference proceedings at the time of submission.

Submitted manuscripts may be screened using similarity-detection software. A similarity report will be evaluated by the Editorial Board in context and will not be interpreted solely on the basis of a numerical similarity score.

Manuscripts containing plagiarism, substantial unattributed overlap, duplicate publication or inappropriate reuse of previously published material may be rejected. If such issues are discovered after publication, the Editorial Board may issue a correction or retract the paper, depending on the nature and seriousness of the case.

Authors are responsible for obtaining written permission to reproduce copyrighted tables, figures, photographs or other material and for providing the appropriate acknowledgement and credit line.

5. Use of Generative AI and AI Assisted Tools

Generative AI and AI-assisted tools cannot be listed as authors because they cannot assume responsibility for the content of a manuscript or provide consent for publication.

Substantive use of generative AI or AI-assisted tools in writing, translation, analysis, coding or image generation must be disclosed at submission. The disclosure must identify the tool and explain its purpose and extent. Routine spelling, grammar and language checks do not need to be disclosed.

Authors remain fully responsible for the accuracy, originality, integrity and appropriate referencing of all submitted content, including content produced or modified with the assistance of AI tools. AI-generated references, quotations or factual claims must be independently verified.

Confidential, personal or unpublished research data must not be entered into external AI systems unless appropriate safeguards and permissions are in place. Reviewers and editors must not upload submitted manuscripts or confidential review materials to publicly accessible AI tools.

6. Data Availability

Where relevant, authors must include a data availability statement explaining whether and how the data supporting the findings can be accessed.

If data cannot be made publicly available because of ethical, privacy, confidentiality or legal restrictions, the reasons must be clearly stated. Authors may be asked to provide access to relevant data or documentation to the Editorial Board for the purpose of verifying the integrity of the research, subject to applicable ethical and legal restrictions.

7. Corrections Withdrawals and Retractions

Authors are responsible for checking their manuscripts and final proofs carefully. Before publication, authors must promptly inform the Editorial Board of any error that could affect the accuracy or interpretation of the work.

After publication, minor errors that do not invalidate the findings may be corrected through a clearly identified correction notice. A paper may be retracted if its findings are unreliable as a result of serious error or misconduct, or if it involves plagiarism, duplicate publication, unethical research or another serious breach of publication standards.

Correction and retraction notices will identify the affected paper, explain the reason for the action and remain permanently linked to the published record. Retracted papers will remain identifiable as retracted wherever legally and technically possible.

Requests to withdraw an accepted manuscript before publication must be submitted in writing and include a clear explanation. Approval of withdrawal remains at the discretion of the Editorial Board.

8. Author Declaration

By submitting a full paper, the corresponding author confirms on behalf of all authors that:

- the manuscript is original and is not under consideration elsewhere
- all authors have approved the submission
- the uploaded manuscript has been fully anonymised for double-blind peer review
- all ethical, funding, conflict-of-interest, data-availability and AI-use declarations are complete and accurate
- the manuscript complies with the MIC - Vis, 2026 Full Paper Submission Guidelines

9. Contact

Questions concerning these policies or the full-paper submission process may be sent to: info@mic-vis.eu